

Fast Wave Polarization, Charge Horizons and the Time Evolution of Force-Free Magnetospheres

Brian Punsly

4014 Emerald Street No.116, Torrance CA, USA 90503

brian.m.punsly@boeing.com or brian.punsly@gte.net

ABSTRACT

Numerical simulations of force-free, degenerate (ffde) pulsar and black hole magnetospheres are often based on 1-D characteristics. In particular, the plasma wave polarizations that can be propagated along the 1-D characteristics determine the time evolution of the entire system. There are two sets of characteristics, corresponding to the fast and Alfvén modes. The fast wave is generally considered to be a transverse light wave, however recently it has been claimed that light-like fast waves can transport a longitudinal electric polarization, $E_{\parallel}$, at the speed of light. The implication is quite profound if true, namely that the wrong information has been propagated along the fast characteristics in all previous simulations of force-free magnetospheres. It is shown in this Letter that the light-like fast waves must be transverse and previous simulations are valid. This result is demonstrated by means of a fundamental physical principle (associated with the fact that particles cannot flow faster than the speed of light), there exists a charge horizon in ffde magnetospheres. It is shown that the Alfvén critical surfaces in a ffde magnetosphere are both charge and particle horizons, i.e. one way membranes that do not permit traversal by charges nor particles anti-directed to the bulk flow. Since the propagation of a discontinuous change in $E_{\parallel}$ requires a physical surface charge on the wave-face, it is also a one-way membrane for longitudinally polarized waves. Besides justifying previous ffde simulations this result also invalidates previous claims that fast waves can radiate $E_{\parallel}$ from the event horizon of a black hole.

1. Introduction

The zero mass, cold limit of MHD (magnetohydrodynamics) known as ffde is useful for studying tenuous plasmas in strong magnetic fields that might occur in pulsars, black holes or gamma ray bursts (Blandford 2002). Recently, great progress has been made in pulsar

ffde simulations, Spitkovsky (2003), and in ffde simulations of black hole magnetospheres, Komissarov (2001, 2004); Uzdensky (2003). To varying degrees each of these simulations require knowledge of the 1-D characteristics of the ffde system in order to time evolve the magnetosphere. Specifically, the polarization properties of the waves determine the changes in the fields that can be propagated at the appropriate speed along a particular characteristic direction. The 1-D characteristics represent local plane wave (no transverse variation of the field values along the wave face) solutions that can be pieced together on a mesh to produced higher dimensional wave structures. Thus, it is crucial that the 1-D characteristics and the corresponding local plane waves are well determined before utilizing the method of characteristics to time evolve a magnetosphere. There are two plasma modes in the system, the fast mode and the Alfven mode. It has been previously shown that a light-like fast wave has a transverse electric polarization (Blandford 2002; Uchida 1997; Komissarov 2002). However, recently in Levinson (2004), it was claimed that light-like plane wave solutions can attain a longitudinal polarization ($\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{E} \neq 0$, where $\mathbf{k}$ is the propagation vector) in a rotating magnetosphere, a case previously considered in Komissarov (2002). The polarization information of Komissarov (2002) was used to piece together 2-D simulations of black hole magnetospheres by means of a Riemann solver (Komissarov 2001, 2004). If the the claim of Levinson (2004) is correct then the simulations of Komissarov (2001, 2004) are based on the wrong information being propagated along the characteristics. This Letter tries to resolve this controversy by studying the global physical properties of a ffde magnetosphere as opposed to debating over mathematical errors. In particular, we find that the Alfven critical surface is a one-way membrane for the flow of charge, a charge horizon. A similar result was previously shown in Komissarov (2004). It is demonstrated that the propagation of a discontinuity in $E_{\parallel}$ requires a physical surface charge on the wave-face by Gauss' Law. Hence discontinuities in $E_{\parallel}$ (step waves) cannot traverse the charge horizon, anti-directed to the flow. It also precludes the possibility of information on $E_{\parallel}$ propagating away from the event horizon. Finally, the association of $E_{\parallel}$ with the Alfven wave yields a nice physical interpretation of the Alfven critical surface as the charge horizon.

The Letter is structured as follows. First of all, the maximum velocity of a particle is determined in ffde in section 2. With this concept, it is straightforward to define the charge horizon in section 3, with an example in a black hole magnetosphere presented in section 4. In section 5, it is shown mathematically that any propagating discontinuity in $E_{\parallel}$ must travel at the Alfven wave speed, thus it cannot travel isotropically at the speed of light. Physically, by Gauss' law the wavefront is a propagating surface charge, hence it cannot cross the charge horizon anti-directed to the flow.

2. Force-Free Magnetospheric Dynamics

The force-free conditions are given by the following relationships, written covariantly in terms of the Maxwell field strength tensor, $F^{\mu\nu}$, and four-current density, J^μ , as well as in component form,

$$F^{\mu\nu} J_\nu = 0, \quad \rho_e \mathbf{E} + \frac{\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}}{c} = 0, \quad (2-1)$$

with the implied constraints,

$$F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} > 0, \quad *F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} = 0. \quad (2-2)$$

Since the field is degenerate and magnetic by (2.2), there exists a time-like frame at each point of space-time in which $\mathbf{E}$ vanishes (Komissarov 2001). This is known as a proper frame (not necessarily a coordinate frame). Denote the magnetic field in the proper frame as $\mathbf{b}$.

It is insightful to decompose a cold MHD plasma into two fluids associated with the two species of charge and take the zero mass density limit to attain ffde. The 4-current density is expressed in terms of the 4-velocities of the two fluids, $J^\mu = -en_+ u_+^\mu + en_- u_-^\mu$. The momentum equations of the two fluids are:

$$n_\pm m_\pm \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{u}_\pm = \mp \frac{en_\pm}{c} \left(\mathbf{E} + \frac{\mathbf{u}_\pm \times \mathbf{B}}{c} \right). \quad (2-3)$$

Adding the two momentum equations and taking the limit of $nm \rightarrow 0$, yields the force-free condition (2.1). Notice that in the same limit, (2.3) implies that all particles flow parallel to $\mathbf{b}$, otherwise the resulting $\mathbf{E}$ in the frame of the particles would drive large cross-field (nonforce-free) currents.

The maximum velocity that a particle can attain in the force-free limit is the speed of light along $\mathbf{b}$. Transforming this velocity from the proper frame to a general orthonormal frame by a local Lorentz boost $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}/B^2$ yields the maximum three velocity of a force-free particle in a local frame Lightman et al (1975); Blandford (2002),

$$\mathbf{V}_{max} = c \frac{\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B} + (B^2 - E^2)^{1/2} \mathbf{B}}{B^2}. \quad (2-4)$$

3. The Charge Horizon

It is useful to define the proper frame known as the corotating frame of a ffde magnetosphere. For such a frame to be meaningful, the poloidal magnetic flux should be slowly

changing (so as to make the azimuthal electric field, E^ϕ , negligibly small by Faraday's Law) as in the flat spacetime, rotating, stationary magnetosphere of Levinson (2004). The corotating frame is attained by a local azimuthal boost, v_F^ϕ , from an any orthonormal frame at fixed poloidal coordinate in an axisymmetric magnetosphere, $v_F^\phi = E^P/B^P$, where the superscript P represents the poloidal component. If there is a non-vanishing E^ϕ then a poloidal boost is required in addition to an azimuthal boost, which greatly complicates the algebra. At a light cylinder, $E^P/B^P = \pm 1$ by definition. The light cylinder is also an Alfven critical surface in ffde which is a one-way membrane for Alfven waves, no Alfven waves can cross the surface anti-directed to the bulk flow (Blandford 2002). Using (2.4), the poloidal component of the maximum velocity of a force-free particle is

$$V_{max}^P = \pm \frac{-E^P B^\phi + \sqrt{B^2 - E^2} B^P}{B^2}, \quad (3-1)$$

where the plus (minus) sign determines the direction of the bulk flow (i.e., it is positive in a pulsar wind and negative near the event horizon of a black hole). Outside of the light cylinder, $|E^P/B^P| > 1$ and inside the light cylinder $|E^P/B^P| < 1$. Consequently by (3.1), in the rotating magnetosphere of Levinson (2004) or in a pulsar, $V_{max}^P = 0$ at the light cylinder, $V_{max}^P > 0$ inside the light cylinder and $V_{max}^P < 0$ outside of the light cylinder. Thus, no charges or particles can cross the Alfven critical surface (light cylinder) anti-directed to the flow - the Alfven surface is a charge and particle horizon in an axisymmetric ffde magnetosphere. By (2.4), at the light cylinder, the anti-directed particles spiral azimuthally at the speed of light with no poloidal velocity.

4. Black Hole Charge Horizons

As expected by the equivalence principle, it is straightforward to show that the concept of a charge horizon can be extended to curved space-time by means of an explicit example. We consider the case of the magnetosphere of a rotating black hole described by the Kerr metric. There are two light cylinders and hence two Alfven critical surfaces in a black hole magnetosphere, one is the standard outer light cylinder of pulsar physics that was discussed in section 3 and the other is an inner light cylinder that is associated with the dragging of inertial frames in the ergosphere (Blandford 2002). Consider the initial state of the simulation of Komissarov (2001). In ingoing Kerr-Schild (K-S, hereafter) coordinates, the initial state has only one component of $F_{\mu\nu}$ that represents a purely radial magnetic field. From (2.3), as $nm \rightarrow 0$, one has $F_{\mu\nu} u_\pm^\nu = 0$. Evaluating this in K-S coordinates, tells us that particles moving along $\mathbf{b}$ satisfy the condition $d\tilde{\phi} = 0$. A constant K-S azimuthal coordinate, $d\tilde{\phi} = 0$ transforms to the constraint $d\phi = -(a/\Delta)dr$ in Boyer-Lindquist (B-L, hereafter) coordinates,

where $\Delta \equiv r^2 - 2Mr + a^2$. Note that this implies a strong toroidal magnetic field near the event horizon in B-L coordinates (Punsly and Bini 2004). The $d\tilde{\phi} = 0$ condition defines the particle trajectories that are restricted to the field lines, a requirement of ffde that was derived in section 2. As discussed in Punsly and Bini (2004), the inner light cylinder is at the outer boundary of the ergosphere, the stationary limit in the initial state (the initial state has zero field line angular velocity as viewed from asymptotic infinity, $\Omega_F = 0$). In order to evaluate (2.4) and (3.1), we need to introduce an orthonormal frame so that the concept of three-velocity is well-posed. The most famous such frame in the Kerr space-time is the ZAMO frame (see Lightman et al (1975), Punsly (2001) and references therein for a review of ZAMOs and the stationary limit surface). Using the ZAMO field values in the initial state, found in Punsly and Bini (2004), evaluated at the stationary limit (inner light cylinder) shows that $V_{max}^P = 0$ at the light cylinder, $V_{max}^P < 0$ inside the light cylinder and $V_{max}^P > 0$ outside of the light cylinder as expected from section 3.

One can get more insight into the Alfven critical condition by looking at the azimuthal velocity of a particle as measured in the ZAMO frames, $c\beta^\phi$, that flows outward (as viewed in the global B-L coordinates) inside of the inner Alfven surface. First transform the four velocity from B-L coordinates to the ZAMO frames, then apply this result to trajectories restricted to $\mathbf{b}$, $d\tilde{\phi} = 0$,

$$u^\phi = \sqrt{g_{\phi\phi}} \frac{d\phi}{d\tau} - \Omega \sqrt{g_{\phi\phi}} \frac{dt}{d\tau}, \quad (4-1a)$$

$$u^0 = \alpha \frac{dt}{d\tau}, \quad (4-1b)$$

$$\beta^\phi = \frac{u^\phi}{u^0} = -\frac{\frac{a}{\Delta} \frac{dr}{dt} - \Omega}{c\alpha} \sqrt{g_{\phi\phi}}, \quad \frac{dr}{dt} > 0, \quad (4-1c)$$

where τ is the proper time of the particle, the angular velocity of the ZAMOs as viewed from asymptotic infinity, $\Omega \equiv -g_{\phi t}/g_{\phi\phi}$, is defined in terms of the metric in B-L coordinates and $\alpha = \sqrt{\Delta \sin^2 \theta / g_{\phi\phi}}$ is the lapse function (that describes the redshift of the ZAMO frames as viewed from asymptotic infinity) that vanishes at the event horizon. By (4.1), for outgoing trajectories, the maximum permissible azimuthal **three-velocity** (corresponding to $dr/dt = 0$) is equal to $-c$ at the stationary limit and decreases without bound as one approaches the event horizon. As in flat spacetime, an outgoing particle can spiral endlessly at the Alfven critical surface, but never flow through it.

Consider the ramifications of (4.1c) to a hypothetical charge that appears to flow outward as seen globally ($dr/dt > 0$) that is inside of the inner Alfven critical surface. Since there is a strong toroidal magnetic field extant near the horizon in the initial state, the physical charges flow on spiral trajectories. Inside of the Alfven critical surface, the resulting spiral flow velocity of a globally outgoing force-free charge is necessarily much larger than

the speed of light in order that the poloidal projection of the flow velocity be sufficient to escape black hole gravity. Thus any out-flowing charge in this region is acausal.

5. Longitudinally Polarized Fast Wave

According to eqns. (8) and (11) of Levinson (2004), a fast wave can propagate at the speed of light with $E_{\parallel}$. The causality question is whether there is a light-like wave that can propagate changes in $E_{\parallel}$. It is useful to look at abrupt discontinuities in order to resolve this question for two reasons. First of all, the simulations of Komissarov (2001, 2004) evolve by means of a Riemann solver that propagates discontinuities that make changes in the field variables. Secondly, the step wave analysis of MHD waves is a method that was specifically developed to provide clarity to the causal evolution of waves (since they are launched by a well defined piston) in the classic work of Kantrowitz and Petschek (1966). In the WKB notation of Levinson (2004), the oscillatory nature wave functions is given by

$$e^{i(\nabla\psi(\mathbf{x})\cdot\mathbf{x}-\omega t)} = e^{-ik(\mathbf{x})(v_F t - X_n)} , \quad (5-1)$$

where v_F is the fast wave phase speed and X_n is a local coordinate along $\mathbf{k}$. For non-dispersive light-like waves, the step function essentially contains information on all of the oscillatory modes since it is given by the Fourier composition,

$$\Theta(ct - X_n) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{e^{-ik(ct - X_n)} dk}{k + i\epsilon} , \quad (5-2)$$

where ϵ is an arbitrarily small positive number in the usual sense.

If the light-like $E_{\parallel}$ conjecture is physical then it must result from an analysis of abrupt discontinuities in cold MHD in the limit of zero plasma mass density. We will evaluate the discontinuities in the rest frame of the wavefront and take the limit of zero mass. Technically there is no light-like frame of reference, nevertheless our results will be well-defined in the limiting process for which time-like wavefronts exist. MHD discontinuities are solved for by considering the continuity of the stress-energy tensor, $T^{\mu\nu}$, across the wavefront. Let $\mathbf{x}$ be the local normal coordinate to the wavefront and the upstream magnetic field, $\mathbf{B}$ is in the $\mathbf{x}$ - $\mathbf{y}$ plane and $\mathbf{z}$ lies in the wavefront surface. All we need consider to get the desired results is mass conservation, the frozen-in condition, which are

$$nu^x u^0 = \text{constant} , \quad \mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} = 0 , \quad (5-3)$$

the antisymmetric components of Maxwell's equations

$$F_{\alpha\beta;\gamma} + F_{\gamma\alpha;\beta} + F_{\beta\gamma;\alpha} = 0 , \quad (5-4)$$

and the continuity of one component of the stress-energy tensor, T^{xz} ,

$$n\mu u^x u^z - \frac{1}{4\pi}(E^x E^z + B_u^x B^z) = 0 , \quad (5-5)$$

in which all of the quantities are evaluated downstream unless there is a subscript "u" and μ is the specific enthalpy (note that by (5.3), $E_u^x = 0$). The continuity of B_x used in (5.5) follows from the $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$ condition in (5.4). A tremendous simplification occurs in (5.4) at the step wavefront, since to lowest order, all the singular terms must cancel (surface terms like delta functions), thus the normal covariant derivative does not depend on the connection coefficients and one has continuity of E^y and E^z at the wavefront, or

$$\frac{\partial E^y}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial E^z}{\partial x} = 0 , \quad (5-6)$$

across the wavefront. Inserting (5.3) and (5.6) into (5.4), one gets

$$\left((u^x)_u^2 \left[\frac{n_u}{n} + \frac{(b_u^y)^2}{4\pi n_u \mu c^2} \right] - \frac{(b_u^x)^2}{4\pi n_u \mu} \right) E^x = 0 , \quad (5-7)$$

written in terms of the field in the plasma rest frame, $\mathbf{b}$. For $n_u = n$, u_u^x is the Alfven or intermediate wave speed in MHD (Punsly 2001). Taking the limit of zero mass density, (5.7) has two solutions,

$$v \equiv \frac{u_u^x}{u_u^0} = c \cos \theta , \text{ or } E^x = 0 , \quad (5-8)$$

where θ is the angle between $\mathbf{b}$ and the wave normal in the plasma rest frame and v is the force-free value of the Alfven speed in a proper frame (Punsly 2003). Thus by (5.8), a force-free discontinuity travels at the Alfven speed (which is not the speed of light in general), or it carries no $E_{\parallel}$.

6. Discussion

It was shown in the last section that force-free fast waves cannot propagate changes in $E_{\parallel}$, in agreement with Komissarov (2002). A discontinuity in $E_{\parallel}$ requires a surface charge density, $\sigma = E_{\parallel}/4\pi$, by Gauss' law. There is no precursor to σ and it is the source of the wave. In fact, it can be shown that the motion of σ along the magnetic field line in the proper frame (the surface current) and Maxwell's equations entirely determine the fields in the downstream state (Punsly 2003). The Alfven surface in ffde is a one-way membrane for the physical charges that comprise σ and therefore for waves carrying $E_{\parallel}$. Furthermore, the

existence of the charge horizon and the inherent charge of Alfvén discontinuities provides a fundamental physical description of the Alfvén critical surface in ffde.

How do we explain the results of Levinson (2004)? That calculation was an attempt to collect higher order terms in a WKB approximation. The danger in doing this is that one must be rigorous in making sure that all terms of higher order are retained in all equations from the beginning. The inconsistent equation is the normal component of Ampère’s law, $F^{x\mu}_{;\mu} = 4\pi J^x/c$. It was not justified to ignore the transverse derivative, ∇_{tr} of the tangential magnetic field, B_{tan} . From eqns. (8) and (11) of Levinson (2004), $\partial E^x/\partial t \sim (w/c)(\delta E_2/kR) = \delta E_2/R$ in the notation of Levinson (2004) in which R is a cylindrical radius. Therefore $\partial E^x/\partial t \sim \nabla_{tr} \times \delta B_{tan}$ (the change in the poloidal electric field in a force-free wind also results in a change in the toroidal magnetic field, in fact the two field components are approximately equal in the asymptotic field zone beyond the Alfvén critical surface (Ogura and Kojima 2003)). In particular the normal component of Ampère’s Law changes from $\partial E^x/\partial t = 4\pi J^x$ in Levinson (2004) to $\nabla_{tr} \times \delta B_{tan} = 4\pi J^x/c$ in the full 2-D discontinuity calculation. The failure of Levinson (2004) is that as the wavelength increases, plane waves are more and more inaccurate representations of the plasma waves in a relativistic magnetosphere. One must find more exact 2-D solutions, with variations transverse to the propagation vector to learn more than the standard WKB approximation. The 2-D solutions should reveal any dispersive effects of the fast waves that result from boundary conditions as is the case in a vacuum waveguide or a plasma-filled waveguide (Punsly 2001). The axisymmetric 2-D fast wave discontinuities in an ffde black hole magnetospheres were determined in Punsly and Bini (2004). The oscillatory 2-D fast waves in a black hole magnetosphere are linear combinations of spin-weighted spheroidal harmonics convolved with a radial function that is a solution of an extremely complicated differential equation (Teukolsky 1973; Punsly 2001).

REFERENCES

- Blandford, R. 2002, in “Lighthouses of the Universe,” eds. Gilfanov, M. et al. (New York:Springer), 381
- Kantrowicz, A.R. and Petschek, H.E. 1966, In: *Plasma Physics in Theory and Application*. ed. by W.B. Kunkel (McGraw-Hill, New York) p. 148
- Komissarov, S. 2002, MNRAS. **336** 795
- Komissarov, S. 2001, MNRAS. **326** L41
- Komissarov, S. 2004, MNRAS **350**, 427

- Levinson, A. 2004, ApJ **608**, 411
- Lightman, A., Press, W., Price, R. and Teukolsky, S. 1975, *Problem Book in Relativity and Gravitation* (Princeton University Press, Princeton)
- Ogura, J. and Kojima, Y. 2003, Prog.Theor.Phys. **109**, 619
- Punsly, B. 2001, *Black Hole Gravitohydromagnetics* (Springer-Verlag, New York)
- Punsly, B. 2003, ApJ **583**, 842
- Punsly, B., Bini, D. 2004, ApJL **601**, 135
- Spitkovsky, A. 2003 to appear in *Young Neutron Stars and Their Environments*, (IAU Symposium Vol.218), astro-ph/0310731
- Teukolsky, S. 1973, ApJ **185**, 635
- Uchida, T. 1997, MNRAS. **291**, 125
- Uzdensky, D. 2003, ApJ **603**, 652